

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.) **ORDER**
)
 AMIL DINSIO and JAMES DINSIO,)
)
 Defendants.)
)

In reviewing Defendants' arguments, the Court notes that Defendants cite no statute or basis of law for their contentions. In doing so, Defendants have failed to point to any provision that would authorize this Court, approximately fourteen (14) years after its Judgement became final, to make an inquiry into whether or not Defendants were entitled to a sentence reduction. Moreover,


¹Because Defendants jointly made the motion, the Court finds that one Order governing both Defendants is sufficient.

Defendants have, on multiple occasions, petitioned this Court and appealed to the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals for relief from and/or correction of their sentence. None of these attempts have been successful. In the absence of a timely filed motion under an appropriate rule of law, or a mandate from the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, this Court simply does not have the authority or discretion to reopen its sentencing decisions.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the joint motion of Defendants Amil Dinsio and James Dinsio to “order their 3 years of supervised relief declared served” (Doc. No. 8) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: November 28, 2007



Frank D. Whitney
United States District Judge

